
# EFFECTS OF THE PANDEMIC ON STUDENTS, FAMILIES, AND SCHOOL STAFF IN 2020

As schools reopened for remote, hybrid, or in-person learning in Fall 2020, what student needs arose, intensi ed, or persisted? As schools reopen in Fall 2021, how might we learn from education stakeholders' needs and challenges from Fall 2020? How might evidence-based approaches help?

# Key Findings from Fall 2020

- Prominent family needs included access to technology, technical support for remote learning, food, and child care.
- Work-related stress and burnout were substantial challenges for teachers and school sta .
- Pre-existing relationships may have mitigated challenges of access to some resources and promoted communication among school sta .

As the COVID-19 pandemic shuttered schools in Spring 2020, children, families, and sta in high-poverty schools faced the compounding e ects of the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing racial and economic injustice. Historical inequities in access to resources and opportunities for families of color, and families living in low-income environments, have led to a disproportionate e ect of COVID-19 on education stakeholders in urban, high-poverty schools. is brief summarizes:



© CENTER FOR THRIVING CHILDREN, 2021

#### CITY CONNECTS DURING COVID-19

City Connects is a school-based intervention that annually serves approximately 30,000 students in high-poverty, mostly K-8 schools, in multiple U.S. states.

At the core of the intervention is the coordinator, a masters-trained school counselor or social worker who works full time in the school as a hub of student support. e coordinator collaborates with sta and families to learn the strengths and needs of every student every year, across academic, socio-emotional/behavioral, health, and family domains. e coordinator then creates a tailored plan of enrichments and supports for each student through community partnerships. e coordinator monitors the plan and adjusts as needed throughout the year.

roughout 2020, coordinators have been on the front lines responding to students and families disproportionately a ected by the pandemic, supporting teachers and school sta , and working with community agencies to coordinate services. Coordinators identi ed, triaged, and coordinated responses to stakeholder needs, which positioned City Connects to provide a window into the pandemic's ongoing e ects. Coordinators could draw on their pre-existing and ongoing relationships with education stakeholders to illuminate needs and challenges. eir perspectives serve as a crucial step to understand the compounding and emerging consequences of COVID-19 and to shed light on a systemic approach to respond to student and family needs during the pandemic.

In Fall 2020, coordinators worked across three states and eleven districts; re-opening guidelines diered across districts and states. As we show in Table 1, only 14% of coordinators worked at schools with fully in-person learning and worked in the school, whereas about half of coordinators worked in schools with remote learning and were working from home.



Table 1. Percent of coordinators in each type of school instructional model per work location. Hybrid models refer to any

|                    | In school | Hybrid | At home | Total |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| In-person learning | 14%       | 0%     | 0%      | 14%   |
| Hybrid learning    | 22%       | 6%     | 4%      | 32%   |
| Remote learning    | 1%        | 4%     | 49%     | 54%   |
| Total              | 37%       | 10%    | 54%     |       |

As we show in Figure 2, students and families had challenges across health, socio-emotional support, and access to resources. Most notably, 52% of coordinators reported that fear of contracting COVID-19 was extremely or very challenging for students and families. More than 30% of coordinators reported that mental health interventions, housing support, translation support for non-English speaking families, technology support, and internet access were extremely or very challenging for students and families. In contrast, more than 40% of coordinators reported that physical health support, socio-emotional support, essentials, and food support were slightly or not challenging for students and families.

Importantly, it may be that while many families were in need of these supports, existing systems in City Connects schools were able to meet those needs. For example, Figure 1 suggests a substantial need for food for students and families. e low percentage of coordinators who reported food support as very or extremely challenging for students and families (see Figure 2) suggests that systems in place may be able to ease the challenge of food access.




Figure 2. Percent of coordinators who estimated how challenging each item was for students and families (n = 67).

Additionally, we asked coordinators to report other challenges for students and families not captured in Figure 2. Coordinators shared that additional prevalent challenges were family job loss, management of at-home learning, and student engagement. Several Fall 2020 challenges had also been prevalent in spring,<sup>8</sup> including food, technology and internet access, support for remote learning, and socio-emotional support.

## TEACHERS AND SCHOOL STAFF LIKEWISE FACED MULTIPLE CHALLENGES

As we show in Figure 3, work-related stress and teacher and sta burnout were a widespread and substantial challenge, with more than 75% of coordinators reporting they were extremely or very challenging for teachers and school sta . Similar to students and families (see Figure 2), many coordinators reported that teachers and school sta found fear of getting COVID-19 extremely or very challenging. Almost half of coordinators reported that teachers and school sta found communication with students and families extremely or very challenging. In contrast, most coordinators reported that communication among sta was slightly or not challenging, which suggests that communication channels within the school – in-person or in the remote learning environment – were working well for the majority of schools implementing City Connects.

*Figure 3.* Percent of coordinators who estimated how challenging each item was for teachers and school sta (n = 65).

Prevalent challenges that coordinators reported were uncertainty and changing policies, student attendance and engagement, and clarity and adherence to school policies. Several challenges echo teacher and school staneeds from Spring 2020,8 including high levels of teacher stress, fear of contracting COVID-19, and concerns about student engagement.

## MONITORING ONGOING NEEDS

e Fall 2020 needs and challenges of stakeholders in City Connects schools build on our learning about the early e ects of COVID-198 and what others have found in U.S. schools more broadly, such as families' need for, and lack of access to, necessities like food, shelter, and social support9, and school sta challenges with remote learning and communication with families. 10 Persistent needs and challenges underscore the importance of ongoing tailored supports across domains.

Burnout and work-related stress may be prevalent and substantial challenges for teachers and school sta . Coupled with existing evidence of COVID-19-related teacher burnout,  $^{10-13}$  ndings suggest a crucial need for socio-emotional support for teachers and school sta .

As we begin to emerge from the pandemic, it is vital to monitor needs and challenges for education stakeholders over time, especially for those who have been disproportionately impacted. A systematic approach to understanding and addressing complex and changing student needs can provide timely and actionable insights, enabling education stakeholders to more successfully weather the current and longer-term e ects of COVID-19.

#### **REFERENCES**

<sup>1.</sup> Walsh, M. E. et al. A new model for student support in high-poverty urban elementary schools: E ects on elementary and middle school academic outcomes. Am. Educ. Res. J. 51, 704–737 (2014).

<sup>2.</sup> Lee-St. John, T. J. et al. e Long-Term Impact of Systemic Student Support in Elementary School: Reducing High School Dropout. AERA Open 4, 233285841879908 (2018).

# APPENDIX: METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLE

In November 2020, we invited coordinators to complete an anonymous survey about their ongoing work during the pandemic. Seventy-three of the 90 invited coordinators participated.

is brief includes a subset of responses from a larger 15-minute survey on coordinator response to the pandemic. Likert-scale and open-ended items solicited coordinator perceptions of needs and challenges across stakeholders. We determined item stems for questions about needs and challenges based on our prior research on coordinator work in the months a er school closures during Spring 2020.8 Open-ended items solicited additional stakeholder challenges, to illuminate new e ects of COVID-19.

For Likert-scale items, we calculated percentages of coordinator responses for each answer choice. We used conventional content analysis 14 to analyze coordinators' responses to open-ended questions of additional stakeholder challenges. is approach is well-suited to phenomena with limited theory and research, such as the e ects of COVID-19, and generates suggestions for research or practice. Two coders analyzed responses through familiarization with the data, developing codes, and determining code categories. Below are the survey questions that informed this brief.

| What is your school's current model for instruction? |
|--|
| In-person  |
| Hybrid (in-person and remote)                        |
| All remote   |
| Other:   |
|  |

Please estimate how many students/families are in need of each resource in your school

(All, most, some, few, none, not sure)

Access to internet

Access to technology (e.g., Chromebooks)

Access to technical support (e.g., Google Classroom, ClassDojo)

Access to food

Access to housing support (e.g., rent relief, vouchers)

Access to essentials (e.g., medication, baby supplies, hygiene supplies)

Access to child care during the school day for young children

Access to child care during the school day for supervised learning

Access to mental health support for parents

Access to mental health support for students

In your opinion, how much of a challenge is each of the following for students/families (Extremely challenging, very challenging, moderately challenging, slightly challenging, not challenging at all) Internet Technology (e.g., computers)

Translation support

Food support

Housing support

Essentials (e.g., baby supplies, hygiene supplies)

Formal mental health intervention

School-based socio-emotional support