Provost's Advisory Council Monday, December 9, 2019 8:30-10am, Lynch Center, Fulton 515

In attendance:

Sharon Beckman (Chair)
Anthony Annunziato
Joseph Carroll
Mary Ellen Carter
Thomas Chiles
Joseph Du Pont
Kristin Flower
Yonder Gillihan
Stacy Grooters

Stacy Grooters
Angela Harkins
Regine JeanCharles

Gregory Kalscheur, S.J.

William Keane

Jonathan Laurence

John Mahoney

Mason Marek

Allison Marshall

Madeline McCullough

David Quigley

Catherine Read

Tracy Regan

Ronnie Sadka

Akua Sarr

David Scanlon

Billy Soo

Gilda Morelli Karen Muncaster Claudia Pouravelis Thomas Stegman Sasha Tomic Thomas Wall

- 1. The summary of the November 7, 2019 meeting was approved. It will be sent to the President's Office. All summaries are posted on the Provost's Office well being are encouraged to share them with colleagues.
- 2. Proposed revisions to course evaluations: Kathy Baily, Chair of the University Council on Teachingand Billy Soo, Vice Provost for Faculties

Billy Soo began with an update on the work that the University Council on Teaching (UCT) has been doingor the past year and a had garding course valuations. Based on feedback from faculty and students, a UCT some mittee was formed to review the existing course evaluation questions and online instrument.

- x Conduct a study of potential dosi in the exisiting evaluation instrument n speaking with peer universities, some report a slight bias based on a number of factors including gender, ethnicity, age, class size, type of class (required versus elective), here others report no bias. The committee recommends that the Office of Institutional Researchand Planning conduct a study of new there is bias in our evaluations.
- x Allow departments and schools to supplement questions in the survey by providing a question bank. Aquestion bak already exists, but is not widely used. The bank would provide schools and departments with a list of whether the survey by providing a question bank. Aquestion bank already exists, but is not widely used. They would

A council member

core, this is a good program that would benefit both BC and the common good, albeit from potential funder with a bad reputation.

Bob added that the department understands the concerns about Koch Foundation funding, but noted that in doing research, there was a surprising agreement betweetenundation's research agenda and that of the BC facultycepting funding does not constitute an

contradict academic values and establishing a clear policy on gift donations from p

4. Provost's Report – David Quigley

David provided some brief updates.

- x Just over 600 students were admitted to the class of 2024 via Early Decision 1. The transition from Early Action to Early Decision has been productive, with a very strong cohortof admitted studentso far.
- x The February meeting will as usual be dedicated largely an update on University plans for budgets and construction.
- x The City of Newtorthis weekvoted to move forward with an eminent domain claim on part of the 300 Hammond Pond Parkway property.